This article was downloaded by: [Renmin University of China] On: 13 October 2013, At: 10:31 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20

Syntheses, crystal structures, and spectral properties of Mn(II) and Co(II) complexes with 3-(p-chlorophenyl)- 4-(p-methylphenyl)-5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4triazole

Jian Zhao $^{\rm a}$ , Hui-Min Cheng $^{\rm a}$ , Guo-Ping Shen $^{\rm a}$ , Yan Xu $^{\rm a}$  & Dun-Ru Zhu $^{\rm a}$ 

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Materials-oriented Chemical Engineering, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University of Technology, Nanjing 210009, P.R. China Published online: 26 Feb 2011.

To cite this article: Jian Zhao , Hui-Min Cheng , Guo-Ping Shen , Yan Xu & Dun-Ru Zhu (2011) Syntheses, crystal structures, and spectral properties of Mn(II) and Co(II) complexes with 3-(p-chlorophenyI)- 4-(p-methylphenyI)-5-(2-pyridyI)-1,2,4-triazole, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 64:6, 942-951, DOI: <u>10.1080/00958972.2011.558897</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2011.558897</u>

### PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms &

Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>



# Syntheses, crystal structures, and spectral properties of Mn(II) and Co(II) complexes with 3-(*p*-chlorophenyl)-4-(*p*-methylphenyl)-5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole

JIAN ZHAO, HUI-MIN CHENG, GUO-PING SHEN, YAN XU and DUN-RU ZHU\*

State Key Laboratory of Materials-oriented Chemical Engineering, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University of Technology, Nanjing 210009, P.R. China

(Received 21 October 2010; in final form 13 December 2010)

Two new complexes, *trans*-[MnL<sub>2</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>] (1) and *trans*-[CoL<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)(EtOH)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · H<sub>2</sub>O (2) with asymmetrical triaryltriazole ligands [L = 3-(*p*-chlorophenyl)-4-(*p*-methylphenyl)-5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole], have been synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis, FT-IR, ESI-MS, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. In the complexes each L adopts a chelating bidentate mode *via* the nitrogen of pyridyl and triazole. Both complexes have a similar distorted octahedral core with two NCS<sup>-</sup> ions in the *trans* position in 1, while one H<sub>2</sub>O and one EtOH are present in the axial sites in 2.

Keywords: Syntheses; Triazole; Crystal structures; Manganese(II) complex; Cobalt(II) complex

#### 1. Introduction

Substituted 1,2,4-triazoles have been studied in coordination chemistry because their complexes have interesting structures and specific magnetic properties [1–4]. Some iron(II) complexes containing substituted 1,2,4-triazole ligands have spin-crossover properties [5, 6], which could be used as molecular-based memory devices, displays, and optical switches [7]. Recently, some 4-substituted 3,5-di(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazoles and their metal complexes have been prepared by us and other groups [2, 8–12]. However, complexes with asymmetrically 3,4,5-triaryl-substituted 1,2,4-triazoles have been less studied [13, 14]. As a continuation of our investigation of asymmetrically substituted 1,2,4-triazoles [15, 16], we present here the syntheses of a new triaryltriazole ligand, 3-(*p*-chlorophenyl)-4-(*p*-methylphenyl)-5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole (L) and its complexes, *trans*-[MnL<sub>2</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>] (1) and *trans*-[CoL<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)(EtOH)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> · H<sub>2</sub>O (**2**). Their single crystal structures and spectroscopic properties are also discussed.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Email: zhudr@njut.edu.cn

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials and measurements

All chemicals were of analytical grade. Solvents were purified by conventional methods. Melting point was determined using an X4 digital microscopic melting point apparatus and is uncorrected. Elemental analyses (C, H, N, and S) were carried out with a Thermo Finnigan Flash 1112A elemental analyzer. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Avatar 380 FT-IR instrument with KBr pellets from 4000 to 400 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were measured with a Bruker AM 500 spectrometer at ambient temperature in CDCl<sub>3</sub> using TMS as the internal reference. Electrospray ionization-mass spectra (ESI-MS) were recorded with an LCQ ADVANTAGE MAX mass spectrometer, with MeOH as the mobile phase; the flow rate of the mobile phase was 0.2 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. The spray voltage was 4 kV and the capillary voltage was 40 V. The capillary temperature was 260°C.

#### 2.2. Preparation of L

The ligand, 3-(*p*-chlorophenyl)-4-(*p*-methylphenyl)-5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole (L) was synthesized by the reaction of 4,4'-dimethylphenylphosphazoanilide (3.392 g, 14.0 mmol) and *N*-(*p*-chlorophenylcarbonyl)-*N*'-(2-pyridylcarbonyl)hydrazine (3.722 g, 13.5 mmol) in *N*,*N*-dimethylaniline (65 mL) at 190–195°C for 3 h [15]; yield 2.158 g (46.2%), m.p. 187–189°C. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub> (%): C, 69.26; H, 4.36; N, 16.15. Found (%): C, 69.01; H, 4.46; N, 16.03. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3036s, 2922w, 1585m, 1567m, 1513m, 1462s, 1450s, 1417m, 1391w, 1164w, 1092m, 1014m, 974m, 834m, 733m, 605m. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$ : 2.43 (3H, s), 7.09–7.11 (2H, d), 7.20–7.21 (2H, d), 7.23–7.25 (1H, t), 7.28–7.30 (2H, d), 7.40–7.42 (2H, d), 7.77–7.79 (1H, t), 8.08–8.09 (1H, d), 8.38–8.39 (1H, d). MS: *m*/*z* = 347.33.

#### 2.3. Preparation of trans- $[MnL_2(NCS)_2]$ (1)

To a solution of L (0.139 g, 0.40 mmol), in boiling EtOH (10 cm<sup>3</sup>), a solution of  $Mn(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  (0.049 g, 0.20 mmol) in EtOH (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added. The mixture was filtered into an EtOH solution containing KSCN (0.039 g, 0.40 mmol). The yellow crystalline solid that formed was isolated, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, and dried *in vacuo* to yield 0.142 g (82.1%) of the complex. Yellow single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from an ethanol solution. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{42}H_{30}Cl_2MnN_{10}S_2$  (%): C, 58.34; H, 3.50; N, 16.20; S, 7.42. Found (%): C, 58.27; H, 3.59; N, 16.01; S, 7.52. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2064vs, 1599m, 1577m, 1513m, 1476m, 1462s, 1400s, 1090m, 739m, 612m. ESI-MS: m/z = 805.83, 807.38.

#### 2.4. Preparation of trans- $[CoL_2(H_2O)(EtOH)](ClO_4)_2 \cdot H_2O(2)$

Complex **2** was prepared in 80.5% yield by a procedure similar to **1** but by using  $Co(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  and  $NaClO_4 \cdot H_2O$  instead of  $Mn(OAc)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  and KSCN, respectively. Light yellow single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by evaporation from an ethanol solution. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{42}H_{40}Cl_4CoN_8O_{11}$  (%): C, 48.81; H, 3.90; N, 10.84. Found (%): C, 48.69; H, 3.98; N, 10.76. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3431(m, b),

Complexes	1	2
Empirical formula	$C_{42}H_{30}Cl_2MnN_{10}S_2$	C42H40Cl4CoN8O11
Formula weight	864.72	1033.55
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_{I}/c$	$P2_1/c$
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)		
a	13.540(3)	16.297(5)
b	9.394(19)	8.788(3)
С	16.371(3)	16.713(5)
β	101.42(3)	96.886(5)
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> ), Z	2041.2(7), 2	2376.2(13), 2
Calculated density $(g  cm^{-3})$	1.407	1.445
Absorption coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.601	0.652
F(000)	886	1062
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.08 \times 0.18 \times 0.4$	$0.12 \times 0.20 \times 0.42$
$\theta$ range for data collection (°)	3.10-25.50	2.46-25.02
Reflections collected	17,564	14,051
Independent reflections	$3793 \ (R_{\rm int} = 0.0758)$	$4176 \ (R_{\rm int} = 0.0717)$
Reflections observed	2662	2359
Data/restraints/parameters	3793/0/259	4176/49/322
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	1.063	1.034
Final <i>R</i> indices $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	$R_1 = 0.0590, wR_2 = 0.0995$	$R_1 = 0.0649, wR_2 = 0.1704$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0960, wR_2 = 0.1093$	$R_1 = 0.1180, wR_2 = 0.1942$
Largest difference peak and hole $(e \dot{A}^{-3})$	0.242, -0.274	0.599, -0.403

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 1 and 2.

3077w, 2923w, 1604m, 1576m, 1512s, 1487s, 1468s, 1403m, 1303m, 1093vs, 1030m, 930w, 834s, 711s, 625s. ESI-MS: *m*/*z* = 850.48, 550.84, 377.24.

#### 2.5. Crystal structure determination

Well-shaped single crystals of **1** and **2** were selected for X-ray diffraction. The unit cell parameters and intensity data were collected at 293(2) K on a Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer with a detector distance of 5 cm and frame exposure time of 10 s using graphite-monochromated Mo-K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) radiation. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined on  $F^2$  by full-matrix least-squares using SHELXTL software [17]. All non-hydrogen atoms were anisotropically refined. Atoms C21, C22, and O5 of the ethanol and O1W, O2W, and O2WA of **2** are disordered. The occupation factor of C21, C22, O5, and O1W is 0.5, while the occupation factor of O2W and O2WA is 0.25. O1W and O5 were refined with the same coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters. Due to disorder, the thermal parameters of partially occupied atoms, C–C and C–O distances in ethanol are restrained during the refinement. All hydrogens of organic ligand were generated geometrically and allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms, but not refined. Details on the crystal data are listed in table 1.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Synthesis

Asymmetrically 3,4,5-triaryl-substituted 1,2,4-triazole (L) reacts with  $M^{2+}$  (M = Mn, Co) and KSCN (or NaClO<sub>4</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O) in molar ratio 2:1:2 to form two neutral



Figure 1. Projection of the structure of 1 with the atomic labeling system. Hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

monomeric hexacoordinate complexes, *trans*- $[MnL_2(NCS)_2]$  (1) and *trans*- $[CoL_2(H_2O)$  (EtOH)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (2), which are stable in air. Yields for 1 and 2 are 82.1% and 80.5%, respectively. Elemental analyses were satisfactory and indicate that 1 contains one Mn(II), two triazole ligands, and two NCS<sup>-</sup>, while 2 contains one Co(II), two triazole ligands, two ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, two water molecules, and one ethanol.

#### 3.2. Crystal structure of 1

A projection of the structure of 1 is presented in figure 1, together with the atomic labeling system. Complex 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/c$  and there is an inversion center at Mn(II). Each Mn(II) is surrounded by four nitrogens from two L in the equatorial plane and two NCS<sup>-</sup> in the axial positions to form a distorted octahedral geometry. Each L coordinates to Mn(II) via N1 of pyridyl and N2 of the triazole, similar to coordination modes in related complexes [15, 16]. The selected bond lengths and angles for 1 are listed in table 2. The Mn–N bond lengths are within the normal ranges observed for octahedral complexes [18, 19]. However, the Mn-N bond to the triazole nitrogen is 0.054Å shorter than that to the pyridyl nitrogen. The same feature has been observed in analogous complexes with trans-NCS<sup>-</sup> groups [9, 20, 21]. The NCS<sup>-</sup> are almost linear [N5–C21–S1 179.6(4)°], whereas the Mn–NC(S) linkages are a little bent  $[Mn1-N5-C21 \ 170.8(3)^{\circ}]$ . L in 1 is non-planar. The triazole ring makes dihedral angles of  $11.2(3)^{\circ}$ ,  $33.9(3)^{\circ}$ , and  $79.1(3)^{\circ}$  with the pyridyl ring, p-chlorophenyl ring, and *p*-methylphenyl ring, respectively. Due to a dihedral angle  $(81.3(3)^\circ)$  between the pyridyl ring and the *p*-methylphenyl ring in L, there is an intramolecular edgeto-face C-H $\cdots \pi$  interaction involving C4-H4 and the *p*-methylphenyl ring  $(H4\cdots \pi = 2.889 \text{ Å and } \angle C4 - H4\cdots \pi = 151^{\circ})$ . The crystal structure is further stabilized by weak intermolecular C-H···Cl  $[C15 \cdots Cl1 = 3.600 \text{ Å} \text{ and } \angle C15 - H15A \cdots Cl1 =$ 153°] hydrogen bonds (table 3 and figure 2).

#### 3.3. Crystal structure of 2

Figure 3 presents the structure of **2** with its atom numbering system. The crystal structure consists of  $[CoL_2(H_2O)(EtOH)]^{2+}$ , two  $ClO_4^-$ , and one  $H_2O$ . In **2**, the Co(II) is

1	2			
Mn1–N1	2.282(3)	Col-N1	2.147(4)	
Mn1-N2	2.228(3)	Co1–N2	2.073(4)	
Mn1-N5	2.182(3)	Co1–O5	2.125(3)	
N5-C21	1.152(4)	Co1–O1w	2.125(3)	
S1-C21	1.623(4)	_	- `	
C11-C11	1.749(3)	C11–C11	1.743(5)	
N2-N3	1.382(3)	N2-N3	1.375(5)	
N1-Mn1-N2	72.9(1)	N1-Co1-N2	76.8(2)	
N1-Mn1-N5	92.4(1)	N1-Co1-O5	90.6(1)	
N2-Mn1-N5	87.3(1)	N2-Co1-O5	89.8(2)	
N5-C21-S1	179.6(4)	_	- ` ´	
Mn1-N5-C21	170.8(3)	_	_	

Table 2. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for 1 and 2.

Table 3. Hydrogen-bond geometry and  $\pi$ -stacking interaction for 1.

$D-H\cdots A$	D–H (Å)	$H\cdots A \;(\mathring{A})$	$D\cdots A\;(\mathring{A})$	$\angle D - H \cdots A (^{\circ})$
C15–H15A $\cdots$ Cl <sup>a</sup>	0.930	2.745	3.600(4)	153
C4–H4A $\cdots \pi$ (C14–19)	0.929	2.889	3.731(4)	151

Symmetry code: <sup>a</sup> x, 2/5 - y, -z.



Figure 2. The crystal packing of 1 viewed along the *b*-axis showing hydrogen bonding.



Figure 3. Projection of the structure of  $\mathbf{2}$  with the atomic labeling system. Hydrogens, lattice H<sub>2</sub>O, and ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> are omitted for clarity.

coordinated by four nitrogens from two L and two oxygens from H<sub>2</sub>O and EtOH in axial positions to form a distorted octahedral geometry. This is different from two similar complexes,  $[Co(MBPT)_2(H_2O)_2](ClO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  [MBPT = 4-(*p*-methylphenyl)-3,5-bis(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole] [22] and *trans*-[CuL'\_2(ClO\_4)\_2] [L' = 3-methyl-4-(*p*-bro-mophenyl)-5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole] [15], where the axial ligands are H<sub>2</sub>O and ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, respectively. The ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> in **2** are uncoordinated. Selected bond lengths and angles for **2** are listed in table 2. Although the bond lengths and angles in **2** are in the normal range, the Co–O distance is 0.054 Å longer than that in [Co(MBPT)\_2(H\_2O)\_2](ClO\_4)\_2 \cdot 4H\_2O. The coordination mode of L in **2** is similar to that found in **1** and also non-planar. The triazole ring makes dihedral angles of 2.9(3)°, 27.4(3)°, and 87.4(3)° with the pyridyl ring, *p*-chlorophenyl ring and *p*-methylphenyl ring, respectively. The smaller dihedral angle [2.9(3)°] between triazole ring and the pyridyl ring indicates that their co-plane in **2** is much better than that in **1** [11.2(3)°].

There are many intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bonds and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions in the structure of **2** (table 4), associated with closer crystal packing. These hydrogen-bond interactions include: (1) between pyridyl ring and triazole ring [C1–H1A···N3<sup>a</sup>]; (2) between *p*-methylphenyl ring and ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anion [C20–H20C···O1<sup>b</sup>, C15–H15A···O1<sup>c</sup>, C19–H19A···O3<sup>d</sup>]; (3) between ethanol and ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anion [C22–H22C···O2<sup>d</sup>]; (4) between *p*-chlorophenyl ring and ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> [C13–H13A···O3<sup>c</sup>]; (5) between pyridyl ring and ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> [C3–H3A···O4<sup>b</sup>]. Similar to **1**, there is an intramolecular edge-to-face C–H··· $\pi$  interaction involving C4–H4 and *p*-methylphenyl ring (H4··· $\pi$ =2.710 Å and ∠C4–H4··· $\pi$ =154°) (figure 4). Notably, a strong offset face-to-face  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction exists between two parallel pyridyl rings with a plane–plane distance of 3.625 Å and a centroid–centroid distance of 3.903 Å (figure 5). These extensive hydrogen bonds and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions assemble the cation units, ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> anions, and lattice water into a 3-D structure.

#### 3.4. IR spectrum

The IR spectrum of free L shows medium bands at 1585 and  $1567 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , attributable to the pyridyl ring vibrations. Upon pyridine coordination to a metal, the higher band is

$D-H\cdots A$	D–H (Å)	$H\cdots A\;(\mathring{A})$	$D\cdots A \;(\mathring{A})$	$\angle D - H \cdots A$ (°)
$C1-H1A\cdots N3^{a}$	0.930	2.580	3.389(6)	145
$C20-H20C\cdots O1^{b}$	0.960	2.706	3.529(3)	144
$C15-H15A\cdots O1^{c}$	0.930	2.696	3.555(6)	154
$C22-H22C\cdots O2^d$	0.960	2.260	3.017(6)	135
$C13-H13A\cdots O3^{e}$	0.930	2.783	3.494(6)	134
$C19-H19A\cdots O3^{d}$	0.930	2.604	3.387(5)	142
$C3-H3A\cdots O4^{b}$	0.930	2.695	3.512(5)	147
C4–H4A · · · $\pi$ (C14–19)	0.930	2.710	3.568(6)	154
$\pi - \pi$ interaction	Cent · · · cent	$\pi\cdots\pi$	- `	Dihedral angle
$\pi$ (py) · · · $\pi$ (py) <sup>f</sup>	3.903	3.625	—	0

Table 4. Hydrogen-bond geometry and  $\pi - \pi$  stacking interaction for 2.

Symmetry codes: <sup>a</sup>-x, 1 - y, -z; <sup>b</sup>1 - x, -y, -z; <sup>c</sup>1 - x, 1 - y, -z; <sup>d</sup>1 - x, y - 1/2, 1/2 - z; <sup>e</sup>1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z; <sup>f</sup> - x, -y, -z.



Figure 4. The crystal packing of 2 viewed along the *b*-axis showing hydrogen bonding.



Figure 5. View of two offset face-to-face  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions for two parallel pyridine rings in **2**. Hydrogens have been omitted for clarity.



Figure 6. Positive ion ESI-MS of (a) 1 and (b) 2 in methanol solution.

shifted by about 15 wavenumbers [22]. So in the spectrum of 1 (or 2), a band at 1599 (or 1604) (m) and 1577 (or 1576) cm<sup>-1</sup> (m) can be assigned to the coordinated pyridyl ring. This means that in 1 and 2 each L uses one pyridyl nitrogen and one triazole nitrogen for chelate binding. In 1, a very strong band at 2064 cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned to C $\equiv$ N stretching vibrations of two *trans*-oriented thiocyanate groups [20]. In 2, the bands due to perchlorate are at 1093 (vs), 930 (w), 625 cm<sup>-1</sup> (s), which can be assigned as the IR-allowed  $\nu$  mode, IR-forbidden  $\nu$  mode, and the non-degenerate ClO<sub>3</sub> symmetrical bending frequency, respectively [15]. The medium and broad band centered at 3431 cm<sup>-1</sup> for 2 is mainly attributed to O–H stretching vibrations of water and ethanol, suggesting the existence of hydrogen-bonding interactions [23]. These features are in agreement with the results of X-ray crystallography. In addition, the stretching vibrations of C(Ph)–Cl bond at 1092, 1090, and 1030 cm<sup>-1</sup> are attributed to L, 1, and 2, respectively.

#### 3.5. Electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry

The structures of **1** and **2** in solution were also studied by ESI-MS [24–26]. Figure 6(a) displays the positive ESI mass spectrum of **1** in methanol solution. The base peak at m/z 805.83 is [MnL<sub>2</sub>(NCS)]<sup>+</sup>. Figure 6(b) displays the positive ESI mass spectrum of **2** in methanol. Four main peaks were observed. The peak at m/z 850.48 is [CoL<sub>2</sub>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)]<sup>+</sup>. The peak at m/z 550.84 is [CoL<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>. The peaks at m/z 397.84 and 377.24 are [CoL<sub>2</sub>(EtOH)]<sup>2+</sup> and [CoL<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, respectively.

#### 4. Conclusion

New Mn(II) and Co(II) complexes with 3-(*p*-chlorophenyl)-4-(*p*-methylphenyl)-5-(2-pyridyl)-1,2,4-triazole (L), *trans*-[MnL<sub>2</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>] (1) and *trans*-[CoL<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)(EtOH)] (ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>  $\cdot$  H<sub>2</sub>O (2) have been synthesized and their molecular structures were determined by X-ray crystallography, IR, and ESI-MS. Structural analyses indicate that two complexes have a similar distorted octahedral core. In 1, two *trans*-oriented NCS<sup>-</sup> coordinate to Mn(II), while two different molecules (H<sub>2</sub>O and EtOH) coordinate axially to Co(II) in 2.

#### Supplementary material

Crystallographic data for the structures reported in this article have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary publication Nos. CCDC 784877 (1) and 784878 (2). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge *via* www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; Fax: +44 1223 336033; Email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

#### Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20771059, 20771050 and 20971068) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK2008371).

#### References

- [1] J.G. Haasnoot. Coord. Chem. Rev., 200-202, 131 (2000).
- [2] M.H. Klingele, S. Brooker. Coord. Chem. Rev., 241, 119 (2003).
- [3] Y. Garcia, V. Niel, M.C. Muñoz, J.A. Real. Top. Curr. Chem., 233, 229 (2004).
- [4] M.H. Klingele, P.D.W. Boyd, B. Moubaraki, K.S. Murray, S. Brooker. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 573 (2006).
- [5] O. Kahn, C.J. Martinez. Science, 279, 44 (1998).
- [6] P. Gütlich, H.A. Goodwin. Top. Curr. Chem., 233, 1 (2004).
- [7] J.F. Létard, P. Guionneau, L. Goux-Capes. Top. Curr. Chem., 235, 221 (2004).
- [8] J.A. Kitchen, S. Brooker. Coord. Chem. Rev., 252, 2072 (2008).
- [9] L. Qi, D.R. Zhu, D.J. Xie, Y.F. Wu, X. Shen. Chinese J. Inorg. Chem., 24, 868 (2008).
- [10] J. Zhou, J. Yang, L. Qi, X. Shen, D. Zhu, Y. Xu, Y. Song. Transition Met. Chem., 32, 711 (2007).
- [11] J. Yang, W.W. Bao, X.M. Ren, Y. Xu, X. Shen, D.R. Zhu. J. Coord. Chem., 62, 1809 (2009).
- [12] G.S. Matouzenko, A. Bousseksou, S.A. Borshch, M. Perrin, S. Zein, L. Salmon, G. Molnar, S. Lecocq. *Inorg. Chem.*, 43, 227 (2004).
- [13] S.P. Zhang, Z.D. Liu, S.C. Shao. Acta Crystallogr., E62, 01279 (2006).
- [14] S.P. Zhang, Z.D. Liu, S.D. Chen, S. Yang, S.C. Shao. Acta Crystallogr., E62, o1516 (2006).
- [15] W. Lu, D.R. Zhu, Y. Xu, H.M. Cheng, J. Zhao, X. Shen. Struct. Chem., 21, 237 (2010).
- [16] W. Lu, D.J. Xie, Z.X. Wang, X. Shen, Y. Xu, D.R. Zhu. Chinese J. Inorg. Chem., 26, 717 (2010).
- [17] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXTL, Structure Determination Software Programs, (Version 5.10), Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems Inc., Wisconsin, USA (1997).
- [18] D.R. Zhu, T.W. Wang, S.L. Zhong, Y. Xu, X.Z. You. Chinese J. Inorg. Chem., 20, 508 (2004).
- [19] D. Zhu, Y. Xu, Y. Mei, Y. Shi, C. Tu, X. You. J. Mol. Struct., 559, 119 (2001).
- [20] D. Zhu, Y. Xu, Z. Yu, Z. Guo, H. Sang, T. Liu, X. You. Chem. Mater., 14, 838 (2002).
- [21] J.A. Kitchen, G.N.L. Jameson, J.L. Tallon, S. Brooker. Chem. Commun., 46, 3200 (2010).
- [22] D.R. Zhu, Y. Song, Y. Xu, Y. Zhang, H.K. Fun, X.Z. You. Polyhedron, 19, 2019 (2000).
- [23] K. Nakamoto. Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Wiley, New York (1970).
- [24] V. Katta, S.K. Chowdhury, B.T. Chait. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 112, 5348 (1990).
- [25] S.R. Wilson, A. Yasmin, Y. Wu. J. Org. Chem., 57, 6941 (1992).
- [26] R. Arakawa, T. Matsuo, H. Ito, I. Katakuse, K. Nozaki, T. Ohno, M.-A. Haga. J. Mass Spectrom., 29, 289 (1994).